A checklist for HTA reports

This summary form is intended as an aid in recording of the extent to which a health technology assessment (HTA) report meets the 15 questions given in the checklist.

It is NOT intended as a scorecard to rate the standard of HTA reports – reports may be valid and useful without meeting all the criteria that have been listed.

An introduction, background, objective, context of material in the HTA checklist, etc is available at www.inahta.org/HTA/Checklist.

| Reference of report | INTRADERMAL (ID) INJECTION FOR RABIES VACCINE: POST-EXPOSURE (PEP) AND PRE-EXPOSURE (PrEP) PROPHYLAXIS & ECONOMIC EVALUATION |
|---|--|
| Organization (acronym) | MaHTAS |
| Name of person completing the checklist | SYFUL AZLIE MD FUZI |
| Email | syful.azlie@moh.gov.my |

| ltem | | | | | | | Yes | Yes Partly | | No | |
|--|-----------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------|---|-------------|----|--|
| Preliminary | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Appropriate contact details for further information? | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Authors identified? | | | | | | | √ | | | | |
| 3. Statement regarding conflict of interest? | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Statement on whether report externally reviewed? | | | | | | | √ | | | | |
| 5. Short summary in non-technical language? | | | | | | | | | | √ | |
| Why? | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6. Reference to the policy question that is addressed? | | | | | | | √ | | | | |
| 7. Reference to the research question that is addressed? | | | | | | | √ | | | | |
| 8. Scope of the assessment specified? | | | | | | | √ | | | | |
| 9. Description of the assessed health technology? | | | | | | | | | | | |
| How? | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | urces of | information | on and literature s | search | strategies p | rovi | ded? | | | | |
| Search | | | | | | Primary | | Other kind of | | | |
| strategy | | | range | restriction | | | data | | information | | |
| 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | | resources | | |
| Complete refere | naa liat | V | List of | | √ Inclusion | | <u> </u> | | Exclusion | | |
| Complete reference list of included studies | | excluded studies | | | criteria | | | criteria | | | |
| $\sqrt{}$ | | - | | | $\sqrt{}$ | | | √ | | | |
| 11. Information o | n basis f | or the ass | sessment and into | erpreta | ation of selec | cted | data and | info | rmation | ? | |
| Method of data | | | al appraisal | Method of data | | | | Results of the | | | |
| extraction described? | | method (for quality assessment of the | | synthesis described | | bed? | | assessment clearly presented, eg, in the form | | | |
| | | literature) described? | | | | | | of evidence tables? | | | |
| $\sqrt{}$ | $\sqrt{}$ | | √ √ | | | | | $\sqrt{}$ | | | |
| Context? | | | 1 | | | | ı | | | | |
| | n contex | t (may or | may not apply to ea | nch HT. | A). | | | | | | |
| (Medico-) legal | | conomic | Ethical | | | | | Other perspectives | | | |
| implications | | nalysis | · | implications considered? | | implications considered? | | (stakeholders, patients, consumers) considered? | | | |
| considered? | pi | provided? c | | \frac{1}{} Considered? | | eur | Con | √ √ | | | |
| What then? | | | | | | | Yes | Р | artly | No | |
| 13. Findings of the assessment discussed? | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14. Conclusions from assessment clearly stated? | | | | | | | V | | | | |
| 15. Suggestions for further action? | | | | | | | √ | | | | |